UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION FOR:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR A TRAFFIC OPTIMIZING MULTI-STAGE SWITCH FABRIC NETWORK

Inventor:

Gary L. McALPINE

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR A TRAFFIC OPTIMIZING MULTI-STAGE SWITCH FABRIC NETWORK

FIELD

5   The invention generally relates to multi-stage switch fabric networks and more particularly relates to a method and apparatus for controlling traffic congestion in a multi-stage switch fabric network.

BACKGROUND

It is desirable to build high speed, low cost switching fabrics by utilizing a single switch chip. Each chip may include multiple full duplex ports (for example,

10   eight to sixteen full duplex ports are typical) meaning multiple input/output ports on a respective chip. This typically enables eight to sixteen computing devices to be connected to the chip. However, when it is desirable to connect a greater number of computing devices, then a plurality of chips may be connected together using a multi-

15   stage switch fabric network. Multi-stage switch fabric networks include more than one switch element so that traffic flowing from a fabric port to another may traverse through more than one switch element.

However, one problem with multi-stage switch fabrics is traffic congestion caused by an excessive amount of traffic (i.e., data packets) trying to utilize given links

1

within the multi-stage switch fabric. Overloaded links can cause traffic to back up and

fill switch queues to the point that traffic not utilizing the overloaded links starts

getting periodically blocked by traffic utilizing the overloaded links (commonly

referred to as blocking). This degrades the operation of the network and thus it is

5   desirable to control the traffic congestion within the multi-stage switch fabric.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing and a better understanding of the present invention will become

apparent from the following detailed description of example embodiments and the

claims when read in connection with the accompanying drawings, all forming a part of

10   the disclosure of this invention. While the foregoing and following written and

illustrated disclosure focuses on disclosing example embodiments of the invention, it

should be clearly understood that the same is by way of illustration and example only

and the invention is not limited thereto. The spirit and scope of the present invention

are limited only by the terms of the appended claims.

15   The following represents brief descriptions of the drawings wherein like

reference numerals represent like elements and wherein:

FIGs. 1A-1C show different topologies of a switch fabric network;

FIG. 2 shows a switch architecture according to an example arrangement;

FIG. 3 shows a first switch element and a second switch element and the

20   transmission of a feedback signal according to an example arrangement;

FIGs. 4A-4C show different levels of the priority queues;

2

FIG. 5 shows four switch elements and the transmission of feedback signals according to an example arrangement;

FIG. 6 shows data propagation along a signal line according to an example arrangement;

5 FIG. 7 shows flow control information according to an example arrangement;

FIG. 8 shows a switch architecture according to an example embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 9 shows a first switch element and a second switch element according to an example embodiment of the present invention;

10 FIG. 10 shows the functionality of an arbiter device according to an example embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 11 shows an example pressure function according to an example embodiment of the present invention; and

FIG. 12 shows an example logical path priority function according to an 15 example embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention will now be described with respect to example embodiments. These embodiments are merely illustrative and are not meant to limit the scope of the present invention. That is, other embodiments and configurations are 20 also within the scope of the present invention.

The present invention is applicable for use with different types of data networks and clusters designed to link together computers, servers, peripherals, storage devices, and communication devices for communications. Examples of such data networks may include a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), a campus area

5   network (CAN), a metropolitan area network (MAN), a global area network (GAN), a storage area network and a system area network (SAN), including data networks using Infiniband, Ethernet, Fibre Channel and Server Net and those networks that may become available as computer technology develops in the future.

Data blocking conditions need to be avoided in order to maintain the quality of

10   multiple classes of service (CoS) for communication through the multi-stage switch fabrics. The quality of certain classes of data, such as voice and video, may be highly dependent on low end-to-end latency, low latency variations, and low packet loss or discard rates. Blocking in the network components, such as switch fabrics, between source and destination, adversely affects all three. Non-blocking multi-stage switch

15   fabrics may employ proprietary internal interconnection methods or packet discarding methods to alleviate traffic congestion. However, packet discarding is generally not an acceptable method in System Area Networks (SAN) and proprietary internal methods are generally fabric topology specific and not very scalable.

A blocking avoidance method will be described that can be employed to

20   eliminate packet loss due to congestion in short range networks such as SANS, and significantly reduce packet discard in long range networks such as WANS. This

4

mechanism may be cellular in nature and thus is inherently scalable. It may also be topology independent.

Figure 1A, 1B and 1C show three different fabric topologies for a switch fabric network. For example, Figure 1A shows a butterfly switch fabric network that

5   includes a fabric interconnect 10 and a plurality of switch elements 12. Each of the switch elements 12 may be a separate microchip. Figure 1A shows a plurality of input/output signal lines 14 coupled to the switch elements. That is, Figure 1A shows a 64 port butterfly topology that uses 24 eight port full duplex switch elements.

Figure 1B shows a fat tree switch fabric network including the fabric

10   interconnect 10 and the switch elements 12 that may be coupled as shown. Figure 1B also shows the input/output signal lines 14 coupled to the switch elements. That is, Figure 1B shows a 64 port fat tree topology using 40 eight port full duplex switch elements.

Figure 1C shows a hierarchical tree switch fabric network having the fabric

15   interconnect 10 and the switch elements 16a, 16b, 16c that may be coupled as shown in the figure. Figure 1C also shows the input/output signal lines 14 coupled to the switch elements 16c. Fabric interconnect signals 15a and 15b are 16 times and 4 times the bandwidth of the input/output signals 14, respectively. More specifically, Figure 1C shows a 64 port hierarchical tree topology using 5 port progressively higher

20   bandwidth full duplex switch elements.

Figures 1A-1C show three different types of switch fabric topologies that may be used with embodiments of the present invention. These examples are provided

merely for illustration purposes and do not limit the scope of the present invention. That is, other types of networks, connections, switch elements, inputs and outputs are also within the scope of the present invention.

While the switch fabric network may include one physical switch fabric, the
5   switch fabric may perform different services depending on the class of the service for the data packets. Therefore, the switch fabric may support the different levels of service and maintain this different level of service throughout the fabric of switch elements. While the switch fabric network may include one physical switch fabric, the physical switch fabric may logically operate as multiple switch fabrics, one for each
10   class of service.

As discussed above, one problem with switch fabrics is that congestion may build-up on the different switch elements when a large number of data packets attempt to exit the switch element. Disadvantageous arrangements may attempt to control the congestion by examining the overall switch fabric network and then controlling the
15   information that enters into the switch fabric network. However, the switch fabric network may extend over a large geographical area and this method may therefore be unrealistic. Further disadvantageous arrangements may discard data packets or stop the flow of data packets into the network. This may necessitate the retransmission of data which may slow down operation of the network.

20   The system may act locally on one chip (or switch element) so as to control the traffic congestion at that chip and its neighboring chips (or switch elements) without having knowledge of the entire switch fabric network. However, the chips (or switch

6

elements) may cooperate together to control the overall switch fabric network in a more productive manner.

Figure 2 shows an architecture of one switch element according to an example arrangement. This figure and its discussion are merely illustrative of one example arrangement described in U.S. Patent Application No. 09/609,172, filed June 30, 2000 and entitled "Method and Apparatus For Controlling Traffic Congestion In A Switch Fabric Network."

Each switch element may include a plurality of input blocks and a plurality of output blocks. Figure 2 shows a first input block 20 and a second input block 22. Other input blocks are not shown for ease of illustration. Figure 2 also shows a first output block 50 and a second output block 60. Other output blocks are not shown for ease of illustration. Each input block and each output block are associated with an input/output link. For example, a first input link 21 may be coupled to the first input block 20 and a second input link 23 may be coupled to the second input block 22. A first output link 56 may be coupled to the first output block 50 and a second output link 66 may be coupled to the second output block 60.

Each of the input blocks (including the first input block 20 and the second input block 22) may be coupled through a buffer (i.e., RAM) 40 to each of the output blocks (including the first output block 50 and the second output block 60). A control block 30 may also be coupled to each of the input blocks and to each of the output blocks as shown in Figure 2.

Although not shown in Figure 2, each of the input blocks may include an input

interface coupled to the incoming link to receive the data packets and other

information over the link. Each of the input blocks may also include a route mapping

and input control device for receiving the destination address from incoming data

5   packets and for forwarding the address to the control block 30. The control block 30

may include a central mapping RAM and a central switch control that translates the

address to obtain the respective output port in this switch element and the output port

in the next switch element. Further, each of the input blocks may include an input

RAM interface for interfacing with the buffer 40. Each of the output blocks may

10   include an output RAM interface for interfacing with the buffer 40 as well as an output

interface for interfacing with the respective output link. The input blocks may also

include a link flow control device for communicating with the output interface of the

output blocks.

Each of the output blocks for a switch element may also include an arbiter

15   device that schedules the packet flow to the respective output links. For example, the

first output block 50 may include a first arbiter device 54 and the second output block

60 may include a second arbiter device 64. Each arbiter device may schedule the

packet traffic flow onto a respective output link based on priority, the number of data

packets for a class within a local priority queue and the number of data packets for the

20   class within a targeted priority queue. Stated differently, each arbiter device may

appropriately schedule the packet traffic flow based on status information at the switch

element, status information of the next switch element and a priority level of the class

8

of data. The arbiter devices thereby optimize the scheduling of the data flow. The arbiter device may include control logic and/or state machines to perform the described functions.

Figure 3 shows a first switch element 100 coupled to a second switch element

5   110 by a link 21. The figure only shows one link 21 although the first switch element 100 may have a plurality of output links. The link 21 may allow traffic to flow in two directions as the link may include two signal lines. Each signal line may be for transferring information in a particular direction. Figure 3 shows the first switch element 100 having data packets within a logical priority queue 70 (also called output

10   queue). The priority queue 70 is shown as an array of queues having a plurality of classes of data along the horizontal axis and targeting a plurality of next switch outputs in the vertical axis. Each class corresponds with a different level of service. The first switch element 100 further includes an arbiter device 54 similar to that described above. The arbiter device 54 schedules the data packet flow from the priority queue

15   70 across the link 21 to the second switch element 110. The arbiter device 54 selects the next class of data targeting a next switch output from the priority queue to be sent across the link 21. Each selected data packet may travel across the signal line 102 and through the respective input port into the buffer 40 of the second switch element 110. The respective data may then be appropriately placed within one of the priority queues

20   72 or 74 of the second switch element 110 based on the desired output port. Each of the priority queues 72 or 74 may be associated with a different output port. For example, the data packets received across the signal line 102 may be routed to the

priority queue 72 associated with the output port coupled to the link 56 or to the

priority queue 74 associated with the outport port coupled to the link 66. As

discussed above, the arbiter device 54 may appropriately schedule the data packet flow

from the first switch element 100 to the second switch element 110. The second

5   switch element 110 may then appropriately route the data packet into one of the

respective data queues, such as the priority queue 72 or the priority queue 74. At the

appropriate time, the second switch element 110 may output the data along one of the

output links such as the link 56 or the link 66. It is understood that this figure only

shows two output ports coupled to two output links, although the second switch

10   element 110 may have a plurality of output ports coupled to a plurality of output links.

Figure 3 further shows a feedback signal 104 that is transmitted from the

second switch element 110 to the first switch element 100 along another signal line of

the link 21. The feedback signal 104 may be output from a queue monitoring circuit

(not shown in Figure 3) within the second switch element 110 and be received at the

15   arbiter device 54 of the first switch element 100 as well as any other switch elements

that are coupled to the input ports of the second switch element 110. In this example,

the feedback signal 104 is transmitted to the arbiter device 54 of the first switch

element 100.

The feedback signal 104 that is transmitted from the second switch element 110

20   to the first switch element 100 may include queue status information about the second

switch element 110. That is, status information of the second switch element 110 may

be communicated to the first switch element 100. The feedback signal 104 may be

transmitted when status changes regarding one of the classes within one of the priority

queues of the second switch element 100. For example, if the number of data packets

(i.e., the depth level) for a class changes with respect to a watermark (i.e., a

predetermined value or threshold) then the queue monitoring circuit of the second

5   switch element 110 may transmit the feedback signal 104 to the first switch element

100. The watermark may be a predetermined value(s) with respect to the overall

capacity of each of the priority queues as will be discussed below. In one example

embodiment, a watermark may be provided at a 25% level, a 50% level and a 75%

level of the full capacity of the queue for a class. Thus, the feedback signal 104 may be

10   transmitted when the number of data packets (i.e., the depth of the queue) for a class

goes higher or lower than the 25% level, the 50% level and/or the 75% level. The

feedback signal 104 may also be transmitted at other times including at random times.

Figures 4A-4C show three different examples of priority queues. The

horizontal axis of each priority queue may represent the particular class such as class 0,

15   class 1, class 2, class 3 and class 4. Each class corresponds with a different level of

service. The vertical axis of each priority queue may represent the number (i.e., the

depth or level) of the data packets for a class. Figure 4A shows that each of the five

classes 0-4 have five data packets within the priority queue. If two additional data

packets from class 4 are received at the switch element, then the status of the priority

20   queue may change as shown in Figure 4B. That is, there may now be seven data

packets for class 4 within the priority queue. Each of the classes 0-3 may still have five

data packets within the priority queue since no data packets have been added or

11

removed from the priority queue for those classes. If the addition of these two data packets for class 4 makes the amount of data packets for class 4 change with respect to a watermark of class 4 (i.e., go greater than or less than a 25% watermark, a 50% watermark or a 75% watermark), then the arbiter device (or queue monitoring circuit) may transmit a feedback signal. Stated differently, if a watermark exists at a level of six and the number of data packets increases from five to seven, then the status of that class has changed with respect to a watermark (i.e., the number of data packets has gone greater than six) and a feedback signal may be transmitted indicating the status at that switch element. Status may include an indication of whether the number of data packets for a class is greater than a high mark, between a high mark and a mid-mark, between a mid-mark and a low mark and below a low mark.

Figure 4C shows the priority queue after two data packets have been removed (i.e., been transmitted) from the priority queue for class 0. That is, there may now be three data packets for class 0 within the priority queue, five data packets for each of the classes 1-3 within the priority queue, and seven data packets for the class 4 within the priority queue. The removal of two data packets from the priority queue for class 0 may cause the arbiter device to output a feedback signal if the number of data packets in the priority queue for class 0 changes with respect to a watermark.

Figure 5 shows four switch elements coupled together in accordance with one example arrangement. A first switch element 182 may be coupled to the second switch element 188 by a first link 181. A third switch element 184 may be coupled to the second switch element 188 by a second link 183 and a fourth switch element 186 may

be coupled to the second switch element 188 by a third link 185. Each of the links

181, 183 and 185 are shown as a single signal line although each link may include two

signal lines, one for transmitting information in each of the respective directions. That

is, the link 181 may include a first signal line that transmits information from the first

5   switch element 182 to the second switch element 188 and a second signal line that

transmits information from the second switch element 188 to the first switch element

182. A link 189 may also couple the second switch element 188 with other switch

elements or with other peripheral devices.

Data may be transmitted from the first switch element 182 to the second switch

10   element 188 along the link 181. Based on this transmission, the number of data

packets for a class within the priority queue in the second switch element 188 may

change with respect to a watermark for that class. If the status changes with respect to

a watermark, then the second switch element 188 may transmit a feedback signal, such

as the feedback signal 104, to each of the respective switch elements that are coupled

15   to the input ports of the second switch element 188. In this example, the feedback

signal 104 may be transmitted from the second switch element 188 to the first switch

element 182 along the link 181, to the third switch element 184 along the link 183, and

to the fourth switch element 186 along the link 185.

Figure 6 shows the data propagation and flow control information that may be

20   transmitted between different switch elements along a link 120. Figure 6 only shows a

single signal line with the data flowing in one direction. The link 120 may include

another signal line to transmit information in the other direction. In this example, three

13

sets of data, namely first data 130, second data 140 and third data 150 are transmitted

along the signal line 120 from a first switch element (not shown) to a second switch

element (not shown). The first data 130 may include a data packet 134 and delimiters

132 and 136 provided on each side of the data packet 134. Similarly, the second data

5   140 may include a data packet 144 and delimiters 142, 146 provided on each side of

the data packet 144. Still further, the third data 150 may include a data packet 154

and delimiters 152 and 156 provided on each side of the data packet 154. Flow

control information may be provided between each of the respective sets of data. The

flow control information may include the feedback signal 104 as discussed above. For

10   example, the feedback signal 104 may be provided between the delimiter 136 and the

delimiter 142 or between the delimiter 146 and the delimiter 152.

As discussed above, the feedback signal 104 may be sent when the status (i.e.,

a level within the priority queue) of a class within the priority queue changes with

respect to a watermark (i.e., a predetermined value or threshold) such as 25%, 50% or

15   75% of a filled capacity for that class. The feedback signal 104 may be a status

message for a respective class or may be a status message for more than one class. In

one example embodiment, the status message that is sent from one switch element to

the connecting switch elements includes a status indication of the respective class.

This indication may correspond to the status at each of the output ports of the switch

20   element. In other words, if the switch element includes eight output ports, then the

feedback signal for a particular class may include status information regarding that

class for each of the eight output ports. The status indication may indicate whether the

14

number of data packets is greater than a high mark, between the high mark and a mid-

mark, between a mid-mark and a low mark or below the low mark.

Figure 7 shows one arrangement of the flow control information (i.e., the

feedback signal 104) that may be sent from one switch element to other switch

5   elements. In Figure 7, the flow control information 160 may include eight 2-bit sets of

information that will be sent as part of the flow control information. For example, the

flow control information 160 may include the 2-bit sets 170-177. That is, the set 170

includes two bits that correspond to the status of a first output port Q0. The set 171

may correspond to two bits for a second output port Q1. The set 172 may correspond

10   to two bits for a third output port Q2, the set 173 may correspond to two bits for a

fourth output port Q3, the set 174 may correspond to two bits for a sixth output port

Q5, the set 176 may correspond to two bits for a seventh output port Q6 and the set

177 may correspond to two bits for an eighth output port Q7. The flow control

information 160 shown in Figure 7 is one example arrangement. Other arrangements

15   of the flow control information and the number of bits of information are also possible.

In one arrangement, the two bits correspond to the status of that class for each

output port with relation to the watermarks. For example, if a class has a capacity of

100 within the priority queue, then a watermark may be provided at a 25% level (i.e.,

low level), a 50% level (i.e., mid level) and a 75% level (i.e., high level). If the arbiter

20   device determines the depth (i.e., the number of data packets) of the class to below the

low mark (i.e., below the 25% level), then the two bits may be 00. If the number of

data packets for a class is between the low mark and the mid-mark (i.e., between the

25% level and the 50% level), then the two bits may be 01. If the number of data

packets for a class is between the mid-mark and the high mark (i.e., between the 50%

and 75% level), then the two bits may be 10. Similarly, if the number of data packets

for a class is above the high mark (i.e., above the 75% level), then the two bits may be

5   11. The watermark levels may be at levels other than a 25% level, a 50% level and a

75% level.

        Stated differently, the flow control information, such as the feedback signal

104, may include status of the class for each output port. Thus, the information sent to

the other switch elements may include the status of each output port for a respective

10   class. The status information may be the two bits that show the relationship of the

number of data packets with respect to a low mark, a mid-mark and a high mark.

        As discussed above, the arbiter device may send a feedback signal to all the

switch elements that are coupled to input links of that switch element. Each of the

arbiter devices of the switch elements that receive the feedback signal 104 may then

15   appropriately schedule the traffic on their own output ports. For example, with respect

to Figure 5, the feedback signal 104 may be transmitted to each of the switch elements

182, 184 and 186. Each of the first switch element 182, the third switch element 184,

and the fourth switch element 186 may then appropriately determine the next data

packet it wishes to propagate from the priority queue.

20   In deciding which class of data to propagate next, each arbiter device may

perform an optimization calculation based on the priority class of the traffic, the status

of the class in the local queue, and the status of target queue in the next switch element

16

(i.e., the switch element that will receive the data packets). The optimization

calculation may use the priority level of each class as the base priority, add to that a

forward pressure term calculated from the status of the corresponding local queue, and

then subtract a back pressure term calculated from the status of the target queue in the

5   next switch (i.e., transmit priority = base priority + forward pressure - back pressure).

That is, the arbiter device may contain an algorithm for optimizing the transmitting

order. Using the Fig. 3 example, the arbiter for link 102 may perform a calculation for

head packet in each class in the priority queue 70 that adds the priority of the class to

the forward pressure term for the corresponding class in the priority queue 70 and

10   subtracts the back pressure term for the corresponding class in the target priority

queue 72, 74 in the next switch element. As can be seen, the status of both the local

queue and the target queue may be 0, 1, 2 or 3 based on the relationship of the number

of data packets as compared with the low mark, the mid-mark and the high mark. The

status 0, 1, 2, or 3 may correspond to the two bits of 00,01, 10 and 11, respectively.

15   After performing the optimization calculation for each of the classes, the arbiter device

may then select for transmission the class and target next switch output that receives

the highest value from this optimization calculation. Data for the class and target next

switch output that has the highest value may then be transmitted from the first switch

element 100 to the second switch element 110 along the link 102. Referring to Figure

20   5, the arbiter device in each of the switch elements 182, 184, 186 may separately

perform the optimization calculations for each of the classes in order to determine

which data packets to send next. As discussed above, if the switch element 188

17

receives data packets and the status of one of its queues changes, then the feedback

signal 104 may be transmitted along the link 181 to switch element 182, along the link

183 to switch element 184 and along the link 185 to switch element 186.

Figure 8 shows an architecture of one switch element (or switch component)

5   according to an example embodiment of the present invention. This figure and its

discussion are merely illustrative of one example embodiment. That is, other

embodiments and configurations are also within the scope of the present invention.

Figure 8 shows a switch element 200 having eight input links 191-198 and

eight output links 251-258. Each of the input links 191-198 is coupled to a

10   corresponding input interface 201-208. Each of the input interfaces 201-208 may be

associated with a virtual input queue 211-218. Each input interface 201-208 may be

coupled to a central control and mapping module 220. The central control and

mapping module 220 may be similar to the control block described above with respect

to Figure 2.

15   The switch element 200 also includes a central buffer (i.e., RAM) 230 that is

provided between the input interfaces 201-208 and a plurality of output interfaces 241-

248. The central buffer 230 may be coupled to share its memory space among each of

the output interfaces 241-248. That is, the total space of the central buffer 230 may be

shared among all of the outputs. The central control and mapping module 220 may

20   also be coupled to each of the respective output interfaces 241-248, which may be

coupled to the plurality of output links 251-258. The central buffer 230 may include a

18

plurality of output queues 231-238, each of which is associated with a respective one of the output interfaces 241-248.

The output queues 231-238 utilize the shared buffer space (i.e., the central buffer 230) and dynamically increase and decrease in size as long as space is available

5   in the shared buffer. That is, each of the output queues 231-238 doesn't need to take up space of the central buffer 230 unless it has data. On the other hand, the virtual input queues 211-218 may be virtual and may be used by the link-level flow control feedback mechanisms to prevent overflowing the central buffer 230 (i.e., the shared buffer space). Embodiments of the present invention provide advantages over

10   disadvantageous arrangements in that they provide output queuing rather than input queuing. That is, in input queuing traffic may backup trying to get to a specific output of a switch element. This may prevent data from getting to another output of the switch element. Stated differently, traffic may back up and prevent data behind the blocked data from getting to another queue.

15   As shown in Figure 8, there may be one input interface for each input port. That is, each of the input interfaces 201-208 is associated with one input port 191-198. Each of the input interfaces 201-208 may receive data packets across its attached link coupled to a previous switch element. Each of the input interfaces 201-208 may also control the storing of data packets as chains of elements in the central buffer 230. The

20   input interfaces 201-208 may also pass chain head pointers to the central control and mapping module 220 to appropriately output and post on appropriate output queues.

Figure 8 shows that each input link (or port) may be associated with a virtual

input queue. As discussed above, the virtual input queues 211-218 are virtual buffers

for link-level flow control mechanisms. As will be explained below, each virtual input

queue represents some amount of the central buffer space. That is, the total of all the

5   virtual input queues 211-218 may equal the total space of the central buffer 230. Each

virtual input queue 211-218 may put a limit on the amount of data the corresponding

input interface allows the upstream component to send on its input link. This type of

flow control may prevent overflow of data from the switch element and thereby

prevent the loss of data. The output queues may thereby temporarily exceed their

10   allotted capacity without the switch element losing data. The virtual input queues 211-

218 thereby provide the link level flow control and prevent the fabric from losing data.

This may ensure (or minimize) that once data is pushed into the switch fabric that it

won't get lost. Link level flow control prevents overflow of buffers or queues. It may

enable or disable (or slow) the transmission of packets to the link to avoid loss of data

15   due to overflow.

The central control and mapping module 220 may supply empty-buffer element

pointers to the input interfaces 201-208. The central control and mapping module 220

may also post packet chains on the appropriate output queues 231-238.

The central buffer 230 may couple the input interfaces 201-208 with the output

20   interfaces 241-248 and maintain a multi-dimensional dynamic output queue structure

that has a corresponding multi-dimensional queue status array as shown in Figure 8.

The queue array may be three-dimensional including dimensions for: (1) the number of

local outputs; (2) the number of priorities (or logical paths or virtual lanes); and (3) the number of outputs in the next switch element. The third dimension of the queue adds a queue for each output in the next switch element downstream. Each individual queue in the array provides a separate path for data flow through the switch. The

5   control buffer 230 may enable the sharing of buffer space (i.e., the central buffer 230) between all the currently active output queues 231-238.

The three dimensions of the multi-dimensional queue array will now be discussed briefly. The first dimension relates to the number of outputs in the switch element. Thus, each output in the switch element has a two dimensional set of queues.

10   The second dimension relates to the number of logical paths (or virtual lanes) supported by the switch element. Thus, each output has a one dimensional set of queues for each virtual lane it supports. Each physical link can be logically treated as having multiple lanes like a highway. These "virtual" lanes may provide more logical paths for traffic flow which enables more efficient traffic flow at interchanges (i.e.,

15   switches) and enables prioritizing some traffic over others. The third dimension relates to the number of outputs in the target component for each local output. Thus, each virtual lane at each local output has a queue for each of the outputs in the target component for that local output. This may enable each output arbiter device to optimize the sequence packets are transmitted so as to load balance across virtual lanes

20   and outputs in its target component.

Each output port may also be associated with a single output interface. Each of the output interfaces 241-248 may arbitrate between multiple logical output queues

21

assigned to its respective output port. The output interfaces 241-248 may also schedule and transmit packets on their respective output links. The output interfaces 241-248 may return buffer element pointers to the central control and mapping module 220. Additionally, the output interfaces 241-248 may receive flow/congestion

5   control packets from the input interfaces 201-208 and maintain arbitration and schedule control states. The output interfaces 241-248 may also multiplex and transmit flow/congestion control packets interleaved with data packets.

By using the above described switch architecture, several advantages may be achieved. For example, larger port counts in a single switch element (or component)

10   may be constructed as multiple interconnected buffer sharing switch cores using any multi-stage topology. The internal congestion control may enable characteristics of a single monolithic switch. Further, the architecture may support differentiated classes of service, full-performance deadlock-lock-free fabrics and may be appropriate for various packet switching protocols. Additionally, the buffer sharing (of the central

15   buffer 230) may enable queues to grow and shrink dynamically and allow the total logical queue space to greatly exceed the total physical buffer space. The virtual input queues 211-218 may support standard link level flow control mechanisms that prevent packet discard or loss due to congestion. Further, the multi-dimensional output queue structure may support an unlimited number of logical connections through the switch

20   and enable use of look-ahead congestion control.

Figure 9 shows a first switch element 310 coupled to a second switch element 320 by a link 330 according to an example embodiment of the present invention.

Other configurations and embodiments are also within the scope of the present

invention. This embodiment has an integration of look-ahead congestion control and

link level flow control. The flow control mechanism protects against the loss of data in

case the congestion control gets overwhelmed.   The figure only shows one link 330

5   although the first switch element 310 may have a plurality of links. The link 330 may

allow traffic to flow in two directions as shown by the two signal lines. Each signal

line may be for transferring information in a particular direction as described above

with respect to Figure 3. Figure 9 shows that the first switch element 310 has data

packets within a logical output queue 314. The first switch element 310 may include

10   (MxQ) logical output queues per output, where M is the number of priorities (or

logical paths) per input/output (I/O) port and Q is the number of output ports (or

links) out of the next switch element (i.e., out of switch element 320). For ease of

illustration, these additional output queues are not shown.

In a similar manner as described above with respect to Figure 3, an arbiter 312

15   may schedule the data packet flow from the output queue 314 (also referred to as a

priority queue) across the link 330 to the second switch element 320. The arbiter 312

may also be referred to as an arbiter device or an arbiter circuit. Each output queue

array 314 may have a corresponding arbiter 312. The arbiter 312 may select the next

class of data targeting a next switch output from the queue array to be sent across the

20   link 330. Each selected data packet may travel across the signal line and through the

respective input port into the second switch element 320.

As shown, the second switch element 320 includes a plurality of virtual input

queues such as virtual input queues 321 and 328. For ease of illustration, only virtual

input queues 321 and 328 are shown. The second switch element 320 may include (N

x M) virtual input queues, where N is the number of I/O ports (or links) at this switch

5   element and M is the number of priorities (or logical paths) per I/O port. The second

switch element 320 may also include a plurality of logical output queues such as logical

output queues 331 and 338. For ease of illustration, only the output queues 331 and

338 are shown. For example, the second switch element 320 may include (N x M x Q)

logical output queues, where N is the number of I/O ports (or links) at that switch

10   element (or local component), M is the number of priorities (or logical paths) per I/O

port and Q is the number of output ports (or links) out of the next switch element (or

component).

Figure 9 further shows a signal 350 that may be sent from the second switch

element 320 to the arbiter 312 of the first switch element 310. The signal 350 may

15   correspond to the virtual input queue credits (e.g., for the Infiniband Architecture

protocol) or virtual input queue pauses (e.g., for the Ethernet protocol), plus the

output queue statuses. The local link level flow control will now be described with

respect to either credit based operation or pause based operation. Other types of flow

control may also be used for the link level flow control according to the present

20   invention.

The credit based operation may be provided within Infiniband or Fibre Channel

architectures, for example. In this type of architecture, the arbiter 312 may get

24

initialized with a set of transmit credits representing a set of virtual input queues (one

for each priority or logical path) on the other end of the link such as the link 330. The

central buffer 230 (Figure 8) may be conceptually distributed among the virtual input

queues 321-328 for flow control purposes. The arbiter 312 may schedule transmission

5   of no more than the amount of data for which it has credits on any given virtual input

queue. When the packets are transmitted to the second switch element 320 over the

downstream link, then the equivalent credits are conceptually sent along. When those

same packets are subsequently transmitted from the second switch element 320, then

their corresponding credits are returned via flow control packets over the upstream

10   link such as by the signal 350. The return credits replenish the supply and enable the

further transmission. Other types of credit based link level flow control are also within

the scope of the present invention.

A pause based link level flow control will now be described. The pause based

link level flow control may be applicable to Ethernet architectures, for example. In this

15.   architecture, each of the input interfaces may be initialized with virtual input queues.

Each virtual input queue may be initialized with a queue size and a set of queue status

thresholds and have a queue depth counter set to zero. When a packet conceptually

enters a virtual input queue, the queue depth may be increased. When the packet gets

transmitted out of the switch element, the queue depth may be decreased. When the

20   queue depth exceeds one of the status thresholds, pause messages may be transmitted

over the upstream link (such as the signal 350) at a certain rate with each message

indicating a quanta of time to pause transmission of packets to the corresponding

virtual input queue. The higher the threshold (i.e., the more data conceptually

queued), the higher the frequency of pause messages, the longer the pause times, and

the slower transmission to that queue. When a virtual input queue is conceptually full,

the rate of pause messages and the length of the pause time should stop transmission to

5   that queue. On the other hand, each time a queue depth drops below a threshold, then

the corresponding pause messages may decrease in frequency and pause time, and

increased transmission to the corresponding queue may be enabled. When the queue

depth is below the lowest threshold, then the pause messages may cease. Other types

of pause based link level flow control are also within the scope of the present

10   invention.

In at least one embodiment, the virtual input queues 211-218 may be

represented by a credit count for each input to the switch element 200. The credit

count for each input may be initialized to B/N where B is the size of the total shared

buffer space (i.e., the size the central buffer 230) and N is the number of inputs to the

15   switch element 200. When a data packet is received at the switch element, it may be

sent directly to the appropriate output queue in the central buffer 230. However, the

size of the space it consumes in the central buffer 230 may be subtracted from the

credit count for the input on which it arrived. When that same packet is transmitted

from the switch element 200 to the next switch element, the size of the space it vacated

20   in the central buffer 230 is added back into the credit count for the input on which it

had previously been received. Each link receiver uses its current credit count to

determine when to send flow control messages to the transmitting switch element (i.e.,

26

the previous switch element) at the other end of the link to prevent the transmitting

switch element from assuming more than its share of the shared buffer space (i.e., the

initial size of the virtual input queues). Accordingly, if the input receiver does not

consume more than its share of the shared buffer space, then the central buffer 230 will

5   not overflow.

For certain architectures such as Infiniband, each input link may have more

than one virtual lane (VL) and provide separate flow control for each virtual lane. For

each input link, there may be L virtual input queues, where L is the number of virtual

lanes. Thus, the total number of virtual input queues is N x L and the initial size of

10   each virtual input queue (or credit count) may be (B/N)/L.

Local link level congestion control will now be described with respect to a

look-ahead mechanism. Link level congestion control may optimize the sequence that

packets are transmitted over a link in an attempt to avoid congesting queues in the

receiving component. This mechanism may attempt to load balance across destination

15   queues according to some scheduling algorithm (such as the pressure function as will

be described below). The look-ahead mechanism may include a three dimensional

queue structure of logical output queues for the central buffer 230 in each switch

element. The three dimensional array may be defined by: (1) the number of local

outputs; (2) the number of priorities (or logical paths); and (3) the number of outputs

20   in the next switch element along yet another axis. Queue sizes may be different for

different priorities (or logical paths). The total logical buffer space encompassed by

the three dimensional array of queues may exceed the physical space in the central

27

buffer 230 due to buffer sharing economies. As such, a set of queue thresholds (or

watermarks) may be defined for each different queue size such as a low threshold, a

mid threshold and a high threshold. These thresholds may be similar to the 25%, 50%

and 75% thresholds discussed above. A three dimensional array of status values may

5   be defined to indicate the depth of each logical queue at any given time. For example,

a status of "0" may indicate that the depth is below the low threshold, a status of "1"

may indicate that the depth is between the low threshold and the mid threshold, a

status of "2" may indicate that the depth is between the mid threshold and the high

threshold and a status of "3" may indicate that the depth is above the high threshold.

10   These status may be represented by two bits as discussed above.

Each time that the depth of the queue crosses one of the thresholds, the status

for that priority (or logical path) on all the local outputs may be broadcast to all the

attached switch components using flow control packets. Stated differently, whenever

the status changes with respect to a watermark, then status messages of a set of queues

15   (for a switch element) may be broadcast back to all components that can transmit to

this switch element. The feedback comes from a set of output queues for a switch

element rather than from an input queue. The flow control information is thereby sent

back to the transmitters of the previous switch elements or other components. This

may be seen as the signal 350 in Figure 9. Each arbiter may arbitrate between the

20   queues in a two dimensional slice of the array (priorities or logical paths by next switch

component outputs) corresponding to its local output. It may calculate a transmit

priority for each queue with a packet ready to transmit. The arbiter may also utilize

28

the current status of an output queue, the priority offset of its logical queue and the status of the target queue in the next component to calculate the transmit priority. For each arbitration, a packet from the queue with the highest calculated transmit priority may be scheduled for transmission. An arbitration mechanism such as round robin or

5   first-come-first-served may be used to resolve ties for highest priority.

A three dimensional output queuing structure within a switch element has been described that may provide separate queuing paths for each local output, each priority or logical path and each output in the components attached to the other ends of the output links. A buffer sharing switch module may enable implementation of such a

10   queuing structure without requiring a large amount of memory because: 1) only those queues used by a given configuration utilize queue space; 2) flow and congestion controls may limit how much data actually gets queued on a given queue; 3) as traffic flows intensify and congest at some outputs, the input bandwidth may be diverted to others; and 4) individual queues can dynamically grow as long as buffer space is

15   available and link level flow control prevents overflow of the central buffer 230.

The virtual input queues may conceptually divide the total physical buffer space among the switch inputs to enable standard link level flow control mechanisms and to prevent the central buffer 230 from overflowing and losing packets. Feedback of the queue status information between switch components enables the arbiters in the switch

20   elements to factor downstream congestion conditions into the scheduling of traffic. The arbiters within a multi-stage fabric may form a neural type network that optimizes

29

fabric throughput and controls congestion throughout the fabric by each participating

and controlling congestion and optimizing traffic flow in their local environments.

Scheduling by round-robin or first-come-first-served type of mechanisms may

be inadequate for congestion control because they do not factor in congestion

5   conditions of local queues or downstream queues. As such, embodiments of the

present invention may utilize an arbitration algorithm for look-ahead congestion

control.

An arbitration algorithm for look-ahead congestion control will now be

described with respect to Figures 10-12. More specifically, Figure 10 shows the

10   functionality of an arbiter according to an example embodiment of the present

invention. Other functionalities for the arbiter (or similar type of circuit) are also

within the scope of the present invention. The arbiter may include the mechanism and

means for storing an array 310 of local queue statuses as well as receiving a status

message 320 from a next switch element (i.e, the downstream switch element). The

15   array 310 of local queue statuses for each respective output port may be a two

dimensional array with one dimension relating to the priority (or virtual lane) and

another dimension relating to the target output in the next switch element. The arbiter

may receive the status message 320 from the next switch element as a feedback

element (such as feedback signal 104 or signal 350). The status message 320 may

20   correspond to a one-dimensional row containing data associated with the target

outputs in the next switch element for one priority level (or virtual lane). The array

310 and the status message 320 may be combined, for example, by the status message

30

320 being grouped with a corresponding horizontal row (or the same priority or virtual

lane) from the array 310. As one example, data associated with the bottom row of the

array 310 having a priority level 0 may be combined with the status message 320 of a

priority level 0. A transmit pressure function 330 may be used to determine transmit

5   pressure values for the combined data. Each combined data may be an element within

a transmit pressure array 340. That is, the array 310 may be combined with four

separate status messages 320 (each of different priority) from the next switch element

and with the transmit pressure function 330 to obtain the four rows of the transmit

pressure array 340, which correspond to the priorities 0-3. These transmit pressure

10   values may be determined by using the transmit pressure function 330. The transmit

pressure function 330 may correspond to values within a table stored in each arbiter

circuit or within a common area accessible by the different arbiters. Stated differently,

a transmit pressure array 340 may be determined by using: (1) an array 310 of local

queue statuses; (2) status messages 320 from the next switch element; and (3) a

15   transmit pressure function 330. For each local or next switch component change, the

transmit pressure array 340 may be updated.

Logical path priority offsets may be added to values within the transmit

pressure array 340 (in the block labeled 350). The arbiter may then appropriately

schedule the data (block labeled 360) based on the highest transmit pressure value.

20   Stated differently, for each arbitration, the local output queues may be scanned and the

transmit priorities my be calculated using the logical path priority offsets and pressure

31

values. The packet scheduled next for transmission to the next switch element may be the packet with the highest calculated transmit priority.

Further functionality of the arbiter will now be described with respect to positive and negative pressures. A status of a local output queue may exert a positive pressure and a status of a target output queue in the next switch element may exert a negative pressure. Embodiments of the present invention may utilize values of positive pressure and negative pressure to determine the pressure array 340 and thereby determine the appropriate scheduling so as to avoid congestion. The logical path priority may skew the pressure function (such as the transmit pressure function 330) upward or downward as will be shown in Fig. 12. Furthermore, the pressure array 340 may be updated each time a local queue status changes or a status message of a next switch element message is received.

In at least one arbitration sequence, all local queues may be scanned starting with the one past the last selected (corresponding to a round-robin type of selection). For each local output queue with packets ready to send, the transmit priority may be calculated using the current pressure value with the logical path priority offset. If the results are higher than the previous analysis, then a queue identification and priority results may be saved. When all the priority queues are considered, the queue identified having the highest transmit priority may be enabled to transmit its next packet.

Figure 11 shows an example pressure function within the arbiter according to an example embodiment of the present invention. Each individual local queue may have a pressure value associated with it at all times. The pressure value for a local

32

queue may be updated each time either the local queue status or the status of its target

virtual lane and output in the next component changes. Each mark on the X axis of the

graph is labeled with a combination of "local status, target status". Each mark in the Y

axis corresponds to a pressure value. The table at the bottom of the figure lists the

5   pressure values for each combination of "local, target" status. The curve graphs the

contents of the table. Negative pressure (or back pressure) for a given output queue

reduces its transmit priority relative to all other output queues for the same local

output. Positive pressure (or forward pressure) increases its transmit priority. Figure

12 shows that the priority of the logical path (virtual lane) for a given output queue

10   may skew its pressure value by a priority offset to determine its transmit priority. Each

output arbiter (or scheduler) may choose the output queue with the highest transmit

priority (and resolve ties with a round-robin mechanism) for each packet transmission

on its corresponding link.

The pressure curve may have any one of a number of shapes. This shape of

15   Figure 11 was chosen because it has excellent characteristics, and because it tends to

react quickly to large differentials between queue statuses and slowly to small

differentials. As discussed above, in this figure, the vertical axis corresponds to a

pressure value whereas the horizontal axis corresponds to the local queue status and

the target queue status. When the local and target statuses are equal, then the

20   combined pressure may be zero as shown in the graph. When the statuses are different

between the local and target statuses, then either forward or back pressure may be

exerted depending on which status (i.e., local status or target status) is greater. The

33

forward or back pressure may be determined based on the status of the local output queue and the target output queue. The higher the congestion level, the greater the pressure changes caused by the status change. This pressure function may be contained within a look-up table provided in the arbiter or other mechanisms/means of

5   the switch element. Other examples of a pressure function for the arbiter are also within the scope of the present invention. The pressure function may also be represented within a mechanism that is shared among different arbiters.

Figure 12 shows a logical path priority function according to an example embodiment of the present invention. Other examples of a logical path priority

10   function are also within the scope of the present invention. This priority function is similar to the pressure function shown in Figure 11 and additionally includes offsets based on the corresponding priority . Figure 12 shows a logical path 0 pressure function, a logical path 1 pressure function, a logical path 2 pressure function and a logical path 3 pressure function. Along the vertical axis, each of the graphs is offset

15   from the center coordinate (0,0) by its corresponding priority offset.

Each logical path may be assigned a priority offset value. Different logical paths will occur for different types of traffic. For example and as shown in Fig. 12 , the priority offset for data file backups may be zero, the priority offset for web traffic may be three, the priority offset for video and other real-time data may be eight and the

20   priority offset for voice may be fifteen. The logical path priority function may be combined with the priority offset to determine the appropriate priority queue to be transmitted to the next switch element in a manner as discussed above. That is, during

34

the output arbitration, the priority offset value may be added to the pressure value as shown in block 350 (Figure 10) to calculate the transmit priority. The priority offset effectively skews the pressure function up or down the vertical axis.

All the arbiters within a multi-stage switch fabric may form a neural type
5   network that controls congestion throughout the fabric by each participating in controlling congestion in its local environment. The local environment of each arbiter may overlap several environments local to other arbiters in a given stage of the fabric such that all the arbiters in that stage cooperate in parallel to control congestion in the next downstream stage. Congestion information in the form of output queue statuses
10   may be transmitted upstream between stages and enable modifying (i.e, optimizing) the scheduling of downstream traffic to avoid further congesting the congested outputs in the next stage. The affect of modifying the scheduling out of a given stage may propagate some of the congestion back into that stage and thereby help to relieve the downstream stage but possibly causing the upstream stage to modify its scheduling and
15   thereby absorb some of the congestion. Thus, changes in congestion may propagate back against the flow of traffic causing the affected arbiters to adjust their scheduling accordingly. Even though a given arbiter only has information pertaining to its own local environment, all the arbiters may cooperate both vertically and horizontally to avoid excessive congestion and to optimize the traffic flow throughout the fabric. The
20   output arbitration, pressure, and priority offset functions may ultimately determine how effectively overall traffic flow is optimized. These functions may be fixed or dynamically adjusted through a learning function for different loading condition.

35

While the invention has been described with respect to the specific

embodiments, the description of the specific embodiments is illustrative only and is not

considered to be limiting the scope of the present invention. That is, various other

modifications and changes may occur to those skilled in the art without departing from

5   the spirit and scope of the invention.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS: